COMMENTS Submitted 10/ <del>1628/20082018</del>	<	{	Formatted: Font color: Auto
National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures		- {	Formatted: Font color: Auto
This Notice document was issued by the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities (	AR	TS	5)
Action			
Notice of availability and request for comment.			
Summary The National Endowment for the Humanities ("NEH") proposes to promulgate procedures implem	entii	inc	y the
National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 ("NEPA"), Executive Order ("E.O.") 11514 (as amend and Council on Environmental Quality ("CEQ") NEPA implementing regulations. Pursuant to CEC comments on its proposed procedures.	led),	),	-
Comments from Joe Carbone:			
My comments are based on simplifying-the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)			
documentation process so the agency will invest environmental analysis and documentation on those actions that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment <u>and</u>			
avoid unnecessary work. I have over 35 years of NEPA experience, including NEPA policy-			
related positions with the U.S. Forest Service and the Council on Environmental Policy.			
The National Environmental Policy Act Procedures for NEH 1. Purpose			
These procedures implement the provisions of NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 <i>et seq.</i> They adopt and supplement the CEQ regulations implementing NEPA, 40 CFR parts 1500-1508, by establishing policy, directing environmental planning, and assigning responsibilities in NEH to prepare, review, and approve environmental documents, 40 CFR 1508.10, that comply with NEPA. <b>2.</b> Applicability			
These procedures apply NEPA to NEH programs and activities, including programs			
and activities carried out by state and local governments, federally-recognized tribal governments and non-governmental organizations, with the use of NEH financial			
assistance, when all of the following apply:		{	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
(1) The NEH has a goal and is actively preparing to make a decision on one or more alternative means of accomplishing that goal and the effects can be meaningfully evaluated (see 40 CFR 1508.23):			
(2) The proposed action is subject to NEH control and responsibility (see 40 CFR			
1508.18);			
(3) The proposed action would cause effects on the natural and physical		ſ	<b>Commented</b> [JC1]: By inserting these parts of the CEQ
environment and the relationship of people with that environment (40 CFR 1508.14) that can be meaningfully evaluated (40 CFR 1508.23); and	/	/	regulations, it will be clearer why the NEH does not need to establish categorical exclusions (as in Section A) as these
(4) The proposed action is not statutorily exempt from the requirements of section	/		types of activities are not subject to the EIS documentation requirement to begin with. Several agencies have included
$\frac{102(2)(C) \text{ of the NEPA (42 USC 4332(2)(C))}}{102(2)(C) \text{ of the NEPA (42 USC 4332(2)(C))}}$	/		this in their NEPA procedures (DOI, USFS, NOAA)
		{	Formatted: Font: Not Italic

## 3. Environmental Policy

It is the policy of NEH to:

(a) Start the NEPA process at the earliest possible time as an effective decision-making tool while evaluating a proposed action;

(b) Comply with the procedures and policies of NEPA and other related environmental laws, regulations, and orders applicable to NEH actions;

(c) Provide guidance to applicants responsible for ensuring that proposals comply with all appropriate NEH requirements;

(d) Integrate NEPA requirements and other planning and environmental review procedures required

by law or NEH practice so that all such procedures run concurrently rather than consecutively;

(e) Encourage and facilitate public involvement in NEH actions that affect the quality of the human environment;

(f) Use the NEPA process to identify and assess reasonable alternatives to proposed NEH actions to avoid or minimize adverse effects upon the quality of the human environment; and

(g) Use all practicable means consistent with NEPA and other essential considerations of national policy to restore or enhance the quality of the human environment and avoid, minimize, or otherwise mitigate any possible adverse effects of NEH actions upon the quality of the human environment.

## 4. Terms and Abbreviations

(a) For the purposes of this section, the definitions in the CEQ regulations, 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508, are adopted and supplemented as set out in paragraphs (a)(i) through (vi) of this section. In the event of a conflict the CEQ regulations apply.

(i) *Action.* Action and Federal action as defined in 40 CFR 1508.18 include projects and programs entirely or partly financed, assisted, conducted, regulated, or approved by NEH.

(ii) *Applicant.* The state, local or federally-recognized tribal government or non-governmental partner or organization applying to NEH for financial assistance or other approval. An applicant may be an organization already in receipt of NEH-awarded funds.

(iii) *Approving Official.* The NEH Chairman or an NEH staff member designated by the NEH Chairman to fulfill the responsibilities defined in Section 6 below, including overseeing development of and approval of the NEPA document.

(iv) *Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)* is a document by NEH briefly presenting the reasons why an action, not otherwise excluded as provided in Section 10 below, will not have a significant impact on the human environment and for which an EIS will not be prepared.

(v) *NEH Proposal (or proposal).* A proposal, as defined at 40 CFR 1508.23, is an NEH proposal whether initiated by NEH, another federal agency or an applicant.

(vi) *NEH Chairman:* The Chairman of NEH, as established in Section 7 of the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. 956.

(b) The following abbreviations are used throughout these procedures:

(i) CATEX—Categorical exclusions;

(ii) CEQ-Council on Environmental Quality;

(iii) EA—Environmental assessment;

(iv) EIS—Environmental impact statement;

(v) FONSI—Finding of no significant impact;

(vi) NEPA-National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended;

(vii) NOI-Notice of intent; and

(viii) ROD—Record of decision.

# 5. Federal and Intergovernmental Relationships

NEH occasionally partners with federal, state and local agencies, and federally-recognized tribal governments, and may depend on these governmental agencies for project management. Under such circumstances, NEH may rely on the expertise and processes already in use by partnering agencies to help prepare NEH NEPA analyses and documents.

(a) With federal partners, NEH will work as either a joint lead agency (40 CFR 1501.5 and 1508.16) or cooperating agency (40 CFR 1501.6 and 1508.5). NEH may invite other Federal agencies to serve as the lead agency, a joint lead agency, or as a cooperating agency.

(b) Consistent with 40 CFR 1508.5, NEH may invite state and local government partners, and federally-recognized tribal governments, to serve as cooperating agencies.

## 6. Applicant Responsibility

Applicants shall work under NEH direction provided by the Approving Official, and assist NEH in fulfilling its NEPA obligations by preparing NEPA analyses and documents that comply with the provisions of NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321-4347), the CEQ regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508), and the requirements set forth in this part.

Applicants shall follow NEH direction when they assist NEH with the following responsibilities, among others:

(a) Prepare and disseminate applicable environmental documentation concurrent with a proposal's engineering, planning, and design;

(b) Create and distribute public notices;

(c) Coordinate public hearings and meetings as required;

(d) Submit all environmental documents created pursuant to these procedures to NEH for review and approval before public distribution;

(e) Participate in all NEH-conducted hearings or meetings;

(f) Consult with NEH prior to obtaining the services of an environmental consultant; in the case that an EIS is required, the consultant or contractor will be selected by NEH; and

(g) Implement mitigation measures included as voluntary commitments by the applicant or as requirements of the applicant in NEH decision documents (FONSI or ROD).

## 7. NEH Responsibility

(a) The NEH Chairman or his/her designee shall designate an Approving Official for each NEH proposal, and shall provide environmental guidance to the Approving Official;

(b) The Approving Official shall provide direction and guidance to the applicant as well as identification and development of required analyses and documentation;

(c) The Approving Official shall make an independent evaluation of the environmental issues, take responsibility for the scope and content of the environmental document (EA or EIS), and make the environmental finding;

(d) The Approving Official shall ensure mitigation measures included in NEH decision documents (FONSI or ROD) are implemented; and

(e) The Approving official shall be responsible for coordinating communications with cooperating agencies and other federal agencies.

## 8. Public Involvement

NEH will make diligent efforts to involve the public in preparing and implementing its NEPA procedures in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.4(b), 1506.6 and part 1503. When developing a plan to include the public and affected parties in the environmental analysis process, NEH will consider the following factors: (a) The magnitude of the environmental considerations associated with the proposal; (b) the extent of expected public interest; and (c) any relevant questions of national concern. NEH will specifically publish EAs and draft FONSIs on its website as provided in Section 11(c) below.

## 9. Environmental Review Process

The environmental review process is the investigation of potential environmental impacts to determine the environmental process to be followed and to assist in the preparation of the environmental document. NEH shall specifically determine whether any NEH proposal: (a) Is categorically excluded from preparation of either an EA or an EIS;

(b) Requires preparation of an EA; or

(c) Requires preparation of an EIS.

10. Categorical Exclusions

(a) General. A categorical exclusion (CATEX) is defined in 40 CFR 1508.4 as a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and for which, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, neither an EA nor an EIS is required. Actions that meet the conditions in paragraph (b) of this section and are listed in section A of appendix A of these procedures can be categorically excluded from further analysis and documentation in an EA or EIS. Actions that meet the screening conditions in paragraph (b) of this section and are listed in section B of appendix A require documentation in a Record of Environmental Consideration ("REC") in order to be categorically excluded from further analysis and documentation in an EA or EIS. A draft REC is attached as Appendix B to these procedures.

(b) *Conditions*. The following three conditions must be met for an action to be categorically excluded from further analysis in an EA or EIS.

(i) The action has not been segmented (too narrowly defined or broken down into small parts in order minimize its potential effects and avoid a higher level of NEPA review) and its scope includes the consideration of connected actions and, when evaluating extraordinary circumstances, cumulative impacts.

(ii) No extraordinary circumstances described in paragraph (c) of this section exist.

(iii) The proposed action fits within one of the categorical exclusions described in either section of Appendix A of this part.

(c) *Extraordinary Circumstances*. Any action that normally would be classified as a CATEX but could involve extraordinary circumstances will require appropriate environmental review documented in an NEH CATEX checklist to determine if the CATEX classification is proper or if an EA or EIS should be prepared. Extraordinary circumstances to be considered include those reasonably likely to:

(i) Have significant impacts on public health, public safety, or the environment;

(ii) Have effects on the environment that are highly controversial or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources;

(iii) Have effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain, involve unique or unknown risks, or are scientifically controversial;

 (iv) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects;

(v) Relate to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects;

(vi) Have a greater scope or size than is normal for the category of action;

 (vii) Degrade already existing poor environmental conditions or initiate a degrading influence, activity, or effect in areas not already significantly modified from their natural condition;

(viii) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (see Executive Order 12898);

 (ix) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (see Executive Order 13007);

(x) Threaten a violation of a federal, tribal, state or local law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment;

(xi) Significantly affect subsistence activities; or

(xii) Significantly affect environmentally sensitive resources, such as (A) properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places; (B) species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or their habitat; or (C) natural resources and unique geographic characteristics such as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; special aquatic sites (defined under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act); floodplains; national monuments; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.

#### 11. Environmental Assessments

An EA is required for all proposals, except those exempt from NEPA or categorically excluded under these procedures, and those requiring an EIS. An EA is not necessary if the NEH has decided to prepare an EIS. EAs provide sufficient evidence and analysis to determine whether to prepare an

**Commented [JC2]:** NEPA often gets blamed for causing project delay. Categorical exclusions are allowed under the CEQ regulations to reduce paperwork. The requirement for a record of environmental consideration adds documentation for actions that should be excluded from documentation. Other agencies have similar requirements. Consider the need for this requirement for all categorically excluded proposals. I assume this is for possible defense if the action is litigated. Consider making this an option vs. a requirement. Documentation would then be done based on discretion and risks vs. a requirement.

**Commented [JC3]:** For simplicity, consider referring to the CEQ definition for "significantly" at 40 CFR 1508.27 rather than navigate and defend a new set of significance criteria.

**Commented [JC4]:** Clarify what is meant by "effects on the environment that are highly controversial" means according to caselaw. This has been interpreted as scientific controversy over the effects vs. a controversial proposal. Clarifying this in the procedures will assist those implementing and interpreting the procedures.

**Commented [JC5]:** Consider moving "scientifically controversial" to the previous circumstance to better align with caselaw.

**Commented [JC6]:** This seems arbitrary. If the intention is to limit the category in scope or size, the categories should state the limits. Recommend deleting this circumstance and letting potential effects related to the extraordinary circumstances dictate scope and size relative to the potential for significant impacts.

**Commented [JC7]:** This seems like it will be difficult to determine and defend. Sometimes there will be short-term degradation to gain long-term environmental benefits.

 $\label{eq:commented [JC8]: This is already covered in \#(i) "Have significant impacts on public health, public safety, or the environment." An EIS would already be required. The fact that impacts would be disproportionately adverse on low income or minority populations would be a moot point in the need to prepare an EA or EIS. Leaving this as a criterion for extraordinary circumstances could lead to the executive order being unnecessarily rescinded.$ 

EIS or issue a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). In addition, an EA may be prepared on any action at any time in order to assist in planning and decision making, to aid in NEH's compliance with NEPA when no EIS is necessary, or to facilitate EIS preparation. EAs shall be prepared in accordance with these procedures and shall contain analyses to support conclusions regarding environmental impacts. If a FONSI is proposed, it shall be prepared in accordance with Section 11(e) below.

## (a) Content

(i) The EA shall include brief discussions of the need for the proposal; of alternatives to the proposal as required by NEPA section 102(2)(E); and of the environmental impacts of the proposal and alternatives. The EA shall also include a listing of agencies and persons consulted in the preparation of the EA.

(ii) The EA may describe a broad range of alternatives and proposed mitigation measures to facilitate planning and decision-making.

(iii) The EA should also document compliance, to the extent possible, with all applicable environmental laws and Executive Orders, or provide reasonable assurance that those requirements can be met.

(iv) The EA should be a concise public document. The level of detail and depth of impact analysis will normally be limited to the minimum needed to determine the significance of potential environmental effects.

## (b) General Considerations in Preparing Environmental Assessments

(i) Adoption of an EA. NEH may adopt an EA prepared for a proposal before NEH by another agency or an applicant when the EA, or a portion thereof, addresses the proposed NEH action and meets the standards for an adequate analysis under these procedures and relevant provisions of 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508, provided that NEH makes its own evaluation of the environmental issues and takes responsibility for the scope and content of the EA in accordance with 40 CFR 1506.5(b). (ii) *Incorporation by reference into the EA*. Any document may be incorporated by reference in accordance with 40 CFR 1502.21 and used in preparing an EA in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.4(b) and 1506.5(a), provided that NEH makes its own evaluation of the environmental issues and takes responsibility for the scope and content of the EA in accordance with 40 CFR 1506.5(b). (iii) *Applicant responsibility*. The applicant shall assist NEH with preparing the EA. NEH remains responsible for compiling the public hearing summary or minutes, where applicable; and copies of

any written comments received and responses thereto. (c) Public Involvement

(i) In accordance with 40 CFR 1506.6, the Approving Official shall publish EAs and draft FONSIs on the NEH website and make such documents available for public comment for not less than 15 calendar days.

(ii) NEH will only take final action on an EA and draft FONSI after it reviews and considers public comments.

## (d) Actions Resulting From Assessment

(i) Accepted without modification. NEH may accept a proposal without modifications if the EA indicates that the proposal does not have significant environmental impacts and a FONSI is prepared in accordance with Section 11(e) below.

(ii) Accepted with modification. If an EA identifies potentially significant environmental impacts, the proposal may be modified to eliminate such impacts. Proposals so modified may be accepted by NEH if the proposed changes are evaluated in an EA and a FONSI is prepared in accordance with Section 11(e) below.

(iii) *Mitigated FONSI*. If mitigation is required to reduce the impacts below significant the FONSI shall identify the mitigation and adopt applicable monitoring and enforcement measures that are necessary to ensure the implementation of the mitigation measures.

(iv) *Prepare an EIS*. NEH shall require that the proposal be evaluated in an EIS, prepared in accordance with Section 12 below, if the EA indicates significant environmental impacts that are not mitigated below a specified level of significance.

(v) Rejected. NEH may always elect to reject a proposal.

## (e) Findings of No Significant Impact

(i) Content. A FONSI shall include the EA or a summary of it and shall note any other environmental documents related to it (40 CFR 1501.7(a)(5)). If the EA is included, the finding need not repeat any of the discussion in the assessment but may incorporate it by reference.

(ii) Publication. NEH shall make the final FONSI available to the public on the NEH website.

#### (f) Proposals Normally Requiring an EA

Proposals that normally require preparation of an EA include proposed actions that potentially result in significant changes to established land use.

#### 12. Environmental Impact Statements

An EIS is required when the project is determined to have a potentially significant impact on the human environment.

## (a) Notice of Intent and Scoping

NEH shall publish an NOI, as described in 40 CFR 1508.22, in the Federal Register as soon as practicable after NEH makes a decision to prepare an EIS. If there will be a lengthy period of time between NEH's decision to prepare an EIS and its actual preparation, NEH may defer publication of the NOI until a reasonable time before preparing the EIS, provided that NEH allows a reasonable opportunity for interested parties to participate in the EIS process. NEH and the applicant will coordinate during the time period prior to the publication of the NOI to identify: The scope of the action, potential modifications to the proposal, potential alternatives, environmental constraints, potential timeframes for the environmental review, and federal, state, or tribal entities that could be interested in the project, including those with the potential to become cooperating agencies. Through the NOI, NEH shall invite comments and suggestions on the scope of the EIS.

Publication of the NOI in the Federal Register shall begin the public scoping process. The public scoping process for an NEH EIS shall allow a minimum of 15 days for the receipt of public comments.

#### (b) Preparation and Filing of Draft and Final EISs

(i) General. EISs shall be prepared in two stages and may be supplemented.

(ii) *Format.* The EIS format recommended by 40 CFR 1502.10 shall be used unless NEH makes a determination on a particular project that there is a reason to do otherwise. In such a case, the EIS format must meet the minimum requirements prescribed in 40 CFR 1502.10, as further described in 40 CFR 1502.11 through 1502.18.

(iii) *Applicant role*. The draft or final EIS shall be prepared by NEH with assistance from the applicant under appropriate guidance and direction from the Approving Official.

(iv) *Third-party consultants*. A third-party consultant selected by NEH or in cooperation with a cooperating agency may prepare the draft or final EIS.

(v) *NEH responsibility*. NEH shall provide a schedule with time limits, provide guidance, participate in the preparation, independently evaluate, and take responsibility for the content of the draft and final EIS.

(vi) *Filing.* After a draft or final EIS has been prepared, NEH shall file the EIS with the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for publication of a notice of availability in accordance with 40 CFR 1506.9 and 1506.10.

(vii) Draft to final EIS. When a final EIS does not require substantial changes from the draft EIS, NEH may document required changes in errata sheets, insertion pages, and revised sections. NEH will then circulate such changes together with comments on the draft EIS, responses to comments, and other appropriate information as its final EIS. NEH will not circulate the draft EIS again; however. NEH will post the EIS on its website and provide the draft EIS if requested.

(viii) *Record of decision.* A record of decision (ROD) will be prepared in accordance with 40 CFR 1505.2 and 1505.3.

#### (c) Supplemental EIS

(i) Supplements to either draft or final EISs shall be prepared, as prescribed in 40 CFR 1502.9, when NEH finds that there are substantial changes proposed in a project that are relevant to environmental concerns; or when there are significant new circumstances or information relevant to

environmental concerns; or when there are significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impacts. (ii) Where NEH action remains to be taken and the EIS is more than three years old, NEH will review the EIS to determine whether it is adequate or requires supplementation.

(iii) NEH shall prepare, circulate and file a supplement to an EIS in the same fashion (exclusive of scoping) as a draft and final EIS. In addition, the supplement and accompanying administrative record shall be included in the administrative record for the proposal. When an applicant is involved,

the applicant shall, under the direction of the Approving Official, provide assistance. (iv) An NOI to prepare a supplement to a final EIS will be published in those cases where a ROD has already been issued.

#### (d) Adoption

(i) NEH may adopt a draft or final EIS or portion thereof (see 40 CFR 1506.3), including a programmatic EIS, prepared by another agency.

(ii) If the actions covered by the original EIS and the proposal are substantially the same, NEH shall recirculate it as a final statement. Otherwise, NEH shall treat the statement as a draft and recirculate it except as provided in paragraph (iii) of this section.

(iii) Where NEH is a cooperating agency, it may adopt the EIS of the lead agency without recirculating it when, after an independent review of the EIS, NEH concludes that its comments and suggestions have been satisfied.

(iv) When NEH adopts an EIS which is not final within the agency that prepared it, or when the action it assesses is the subject of a referral under 40 CFR part 1504, or when the EIS's adequacy is the subject of a judicial action which is not final, NEH shall so specify.

### (e) Proposals Normally Requiring an EIS

Given the nature of NEH activities, there are no proposals that would normally require use of an EIS. NEH would most likely use an EA in any given case to determine whether a project has a potentially significant impact on the human environment. The conclusion reached by NEH in the EA would dictate whether it would then prepare an EIS.

## Appendix a to the National Environmental Policy Act Procedures for Neh

Actions consistent with any of the following categories are, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, categorically excluded from further analysis in an EA or EIS:

## A. General Categorical Exclusions

1. Routine administrative and management activities including, but not limited to, those activities related to budgeting, finance, personnel actions, procurement activities, compliance with applicable executive orders and procedures for sustainable or "greened" procurement, retaining legal counsel, public affairs activities (*e.g.*, issuing press releases, newsletters and notices of funding availability), internal and external program evaluation and monitoring (*e.g.*, site visits), database development and maintenance, and computer systems administration.

Preparing, revising, or adopting regulations, including those that implement without substantial change the regulations, instructions, directives, or guidance documents from other Federal agencies.
 Routine activities undertaken by NEH to support its program partners, such as serving on task forces, ad hoc committees or representing NEH interests in other forums.

4. Approving and issuing financial assistance to support research, education, preservation, and public programs in the humanities, except where such assistance supports the construction, restoration, or renovation of facilities, including the purchase or lease of new infrastructure, or otherwise involves ground disturbing activity.

- 5. Approving and issuing financial assistance to support facility planning and design.
- 6. Approving and issuing grants to support the purchase or lease of preexisting infrastructure.

7. Nondestructive data collection, inventory, study, research, and monitoring activities.

## B. Program Specific Categorical Exclusions

Actions consistent with any of the following categories are, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, categorically excluded from further analysis and documentation in an EA or EIS upon completion of the NEH CATEX checklist. As contemplated by the checklist, for any proposed action requiring review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act ("NHPA"), a categorical exclusion may only apply after NEH has determined that such action is not reasonably likely to have a significant effect on historic properties. **Commented [JC9]:** These proposed categorical exclusions include actions that would not trigger the Section 102 requirement for an environmental impact statement. There is no need to establish categorical exclusions for actions that do not affect the natural and physical environment and the relationship of people with that environment (40 CFR 1508.14). Simplify the regulations and delete this section.

**Commented [JC10]:** This seems redundant if already covered under extraordinary circumstances.

1. Upgrade, repair, maintenance, replacement, or minor renovations and additions to facilities, grounds and equipment, including but not limited to, roof replacement, foundation repair, access ramp and door improvements pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), weatherization and energy efficiency related improvements, HVAC renovations, painting, floor system replacement, repaving parking lots and ground maintenance, that do not result in a change in the functional use of the real property.

2. Construction, purchase or lease of new infrastructure, including, but not limited to, museums, libraries and other community buildings, and office space, that is similar to existing land use if the area to be disturbed has no more than two acres of new surface disturbance. The following conditions must be met:

a. The structure and proposed use are compatible with applicable Federal, tribal, state, and local planning and zoning standards.

b. The site and scale of the construction or improvement is consistent with those of existing, adjacent, or nearby buildings.

c. There is no evidence of community controversy.

d. The proposed use will not substantially increase the number of motor vehicles at the facility or in the area.

e. The construction or improvement will not result in uses that exceed existing support infrastructure capacities (road, sewer, water, parking, etc.).

3. Construction, purchase or lease of new infrastructure, including, but not limited to, museums, libraries and other community buildings, and office space, where such construction, purchase or lease is for infrastructure of less than 12,000 square feet of useable space.

4. Demolition, disposal, or improvements involving buildings or structures when done in accordance with applicable regulations, including those regulations applying to removal of asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other hazardous materials. BILLING CODE 7356-01-P **Commented [JC11]:** Community controversy may be a good reason to do more public involvement and consider alternatives; however, the existence of community controversy is not always an indication there will be extraordinary circumstances triggering the need for an EA or an EIS. Recommend dropping this as a criterion for using the CE.

**Commented [JC12]:** I would tie this to the potential for significant effects as an extraordinary circumstance vs. as part of the CE. Possible connections with extraordinary circumstances would be with Clean Air Act, human health and safety or ESA to name a few. Recommend deleting.

**Commented [JC13]:** Let the extraordinary circumstances limit the use of a CE vs. this condition. This may be a condition that needs to be met for any infrastructure, regardless of environmental documentation requirements (CE or EA/EIS documentation). Appendix B to the National Environmental Policy Act Procedures for NEH

Draft National Endowment for the Humanities Record of Environmental Consideration

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Top section to be completed by the applicant project director; continue on separate sheets as necessary.

Grant Identification Number:

Project Title:

Applicant Name:

Project Description (provide sufficient information for evaluation of all potential environmental effects, including total acreage, clearing requirements, presence of historic properties, etc.):

Anticipated Start Date:

Project Duration:

Signature: Project Director Date: \_\_\_\_\_

To Be Completed by the NEH Approving Official (NEH official designated by the Chairman with responsibility for overseeing development and approval of NEPA documentation)

Environmental Analysis Determination. NEH has determined that the project:

- □ Qualifies for a categorical exclusion See Attachment 1, NEH Environmental "Screening Checklist" Categorical Exclusion #:
- □ Does not qualify for a categorical exclusion: NEH requires further environmental analysis.

List Applicable NEPA Documents:

### Remarks:

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ NEH Approving Official

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Attachment 1 NEH NEPA Compliance Checklist

+ marked YES or UNKNOWN:					
YES	NO	UNKNOWN	Description of the Reasonable Likelihood of the Proposed Action's Effect		
			1.Significant impact on public health or safety?		
			<ol> <li>Imposes uncertain, unique, or unknown environmental risks, or is scientifically controversial?</li> </ol>		
			3.Establishes precedents or makes decisions in principle for future actions that have the potential for significant impacts?		
			4.Relates directly to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?		
			5.Adversely affects properties listed or eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office, the State Historic Preservation Officer, the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, or a consulting party under 36 CFR 800?		
		0	6.Adversely affects species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or adversely affects designated Critical Habitat for these species?		
			<ol> <li>Adversely affects coral reefs or Federally-designated wilderness areas, wildlife refuges, marine sanctuaries, or parklands?</li> </ol>		
			S.Threatens a violation of Federal, state, or local environmental laws?		
		D	9.Disproportionately affects low income or minority populations?		
		0	10 Limits access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affects the physical integrity of such sites?		

A Categorical Exclusion ("CATEX") excludes a proposed action from further NEPA analysis. Do not use a CATEX if any of the following "extraordinary circumstances" are #marked YES or UNKNOWN:

## Applicant Signature:

Project Director:

Date:

## NEH Signature:

Approving Official:

Date: \_\_\_\_